

SWALE JOINT TRANSPORTATION BOARD

Meeting Date	Monday 19 th December 2016
Report Title	Update Report – Proposed Restrictions Capel Road, Sittingbourne
Cabinet Member	Cllr Mike Cosgrove
SMT Lead	Dave Thomas
Head of Service	Dave Thomas
Lead Officer	Brett O'Connell (SBC)
Classification	Open

Recommendations	<p>Members are asked to consider the results of the recent informal consultations for waiting restrictions and recommend that Officers:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Abandon proposed restrictions and monitor site.
------------------------	--

Purpose of Report and Executive Summary

- 1.1 This report provides a summary of informal consultation undertaken by two Councillors following a recommendation by the JTB in September 2016.

2 Background

- 2.1 A petition was received around April 2016 from residents at the west end of Capel Road. The petition had been signed by 23 households in Capel Road, 1 in Arundel Avenue and 1 in Berkeley Court. The petition stated there had been parking problems ever since the installation of parking restrictions and time limited bays a few years ago. The petition was submitted to the Chairman of the JTB in July 2016 and it was recommended that a consultation with residents progress with a design to try and relieve the parking problems. The consultation material is included in Annex A.

A consultation progressed with two options, one installing single yellow lines and the other with double yellow lines. Out of the 80 properties consulted 16 responses were received, 1 household supported double yellow lines, 5 supported single yellow lines and 10 objected to any restrictions. The results were submitted to the JTB in September 2016 and the JTB recommended that the proposed restrictions be deferred to the December meeting so that Ward Members could encourage more residents to respond to the consultation. The Ward Members letter is included in Annex B

Councillors George Samuel and Derek Conway delivered letters to all properties on Capel Road. The letter informed them of the extended consultation date and further

encouragement to respond. Councillors Samuel and Conway received 1 response with 2 names and I received 3 responses, 1 of my responses was a duplicate of the response to Councillors Samuel and Conway. All responders objected to the proposed restrictions. Consultees responses are included in Annex C.

3 Recommendation

- 3.1 Members are asked to consider the results of the recent informal consultation for waiting restrictions and recommend that Officers do not install parking restrictions at this time and monitor the site.

4 Implications

Issue	Implications
Corporate Plan	Improving Community Safety through safer Highways.
Financial, Resource and Property	Costs associated with Traffic Regulation Order, and necessary lining and signing should the restrictions be implemented.
Legal and Statutory	Traffic Regulation Orders to be sealed by Kent County Council should the restrictions be implemented.
Crime and Disorder	None at this stage.
Risk Management and Health and Safety	None identified at this stage.
Equality and Diversity	None identified at this stage.
Sustainability	None identified at this stage.

5 Appendices

- 5.1 The following documents are to be published with this report and form part of the report:-
- Annex A – Copy of consultation material
 - Annex B – Letter to the residents from Ward Members
 - Annex C – Consultees responses

6 Background Papers

- 6.1 None